

Accreditation

May 11, 2006

Council for

Occupational

George H. Van Allen, Ph.D.

President

Therapy

Nashville State Technical Community College

120 White Bridge Road

Education

Suite W-26

Nashville, TN 37209-4515

The American

Dear Dr. Van Allen:

Occupational

Association, Inc.

Therapy

The Accreditation Council for Occupational Therapy Education (ACOTE®) met on April 24-25, 2006, and reviewed the Evaluators' Report of On-Site Evaluation of the

occupational therapy assistant program offered on the associate degree level at Nashville State Technical Community College, Nashville, Tennessee.

More Than

75 Years of

ACOTE voted that the report be accepted as amended; that the program status be ACCREDITATION; and that no additional report regarding the on-site evaluation be required.

Service.

Experience,

and

Excellence in

Accreditation

an ACOTE accreditation visit must accurately disclose:

Please note that any institution or program that elects to make a public disclosure of the results of

- Statements from the final report, the Report of the Accreditation Council for Occupational Therapy Education - not the Evaluators' Report of On-Site Evaluation.
- Complete information regarding ACOTE's findings (i.e., strengths, suggestions, areas of noncompliance, and ACOTE's final accreditation action). Strengths cited in the report may not be published without also publishing any cited suggestions or areas of noncompliance.

The Certificate of Accreditation issued by ACOTE is enclosed with the program director's copy of this letter. Because no areas of noncompliance were cited in the enclosed report, accreditation has been awarded for a period of 10 years and the next on-site evaluation has been listed for the 2015/2016 academic year.

800-377-8555 (TDD)

George H. Van Allen, Ph.D. May 11, 2006 Page 2

Best wishes for the continued success of this occupational therapy assistant educational program.

Sincerely,

Paula Kramer, Ph.D., OTR/L, FAOTA Chairperson, Accreditation Council for Occupational Therapy Education

PK/seg

Enclosure: Report of ACOTE (RAC)

cc: Pam Munz, Ph.D., Dean, Social and Life Sciences Division, Nashville State Technical Community College (Encl. RAC)

Donna Whitehouse, MHA, OTR/L, Program Director, Occupational Therapy Assistant Program, Nashville State Technical Community College (Encls. RAC, Certificate of Accreditation, Public Correction of Incorrect or Misleading Statements Regarding Accreditation Status)
Eunice Zee-Chen, M.S., OTR/L, FAOTA, Reviewer, ACOTE (Encl. RAC)
Perri Stern, Ed.D., OTR/L, FAOTA, Reviewer, ACOTE (Encl. RAC)
Jamie Marie Geraci, M.S., OTR/L, On-Site Evaluation Team Chairperson (Encl. RAC)

Beth Ann Kneisley Hatkevich, MOT, OTR/L, Evaluator, Roster of Accreditation Evaluators
(Encl. RAC)

Kay Blose, MOT, OTR/L, Paper Reviewer, Roster of Accreditation Evaluators (Encl. RAC) Sue Graves, Senior Program Manager, Accreditation, AOTA

ASSISTANT

Program in Occupational Therapy

		Evaluators' REPORT ON-SITE EVALUATIO (ROSE)			REPORT of the ACCREDIT COUNCIL FOR OCCUPATION THERAPY EDUCATION (RA	ONAL
pro	e purpos gram in sistant.	meeting the Standards for a	objective ju an Accredite	dgmental and ed Education	alysis of the educational effectiveness of al Program for the Occupational The	of the erapy
NA	ME OF	INSTITUTION Nashville Sta	te Technical	Community C	College	
ΑD	DRESS	120 White Bridge Road, Na	shville, TN 3	7209-4515	The section of the se	U-11 709
СН	IFF EXE	ECUTIVE OFFICER AND TIT	LE George	Van Allen, Pf	.D., President	
PR	OGRAN	DIRECTOR AND TITLE DO	onna Whiteh	ouse, MHA, C) R/L, Program Director	
DA	TE OF	ON-SITE EVALUATION Feb	ruary 6-8, 20	06		
		SECTION	I: CHANGE	S IN PROGR	AM INFORMATION	
		differences noted in comparing observed during the on-site of			vided prior to the on-site evaluation wit	h the
1	Instituti	onal Accreditation Status		8.	Support Service	
		m Administrative Staffing		9.	Financial Support	
		ocedures		10.	Physical Resources	
3.	Numbe	r of Program Faculty		11.	Student Selection Procedures	
		n Faculty Qualifications		12.	Statements of Fair Practice	
		Teaching Assignments		13.	Continuing Program Evaluation	
6.		r of Support Faculty		14.	Curriculum Content	
7.		t Faculty Qualifications		15.	Fieldwork Experience	
Des	cribe ar	ov changes indicated above (e a what the	ev are how th	ey affect the program, students and/or	faculty):
063	, and a	.) shanges included above (and the same	,,		
Ø	No sign	ificant changes were noted.				
		s are noted below:				

SECTION II: ON-SITE EVALUATION PROCESS

SECTION II, PART A: INSTITUTIONAL REPRESENTATIVES INTERVIEWED

Individuals with whom the evaluators met during the on-site visit. (Refer to Appendix for names of

		-					_
	Administrative Officer(s)		8.	Program Gradua	ites		\boxtimes
2.		×	9.	Students			
	Occupational Therapy Assistant Faculty	×		Fieldwork	(No. 4)		×
	Institutional Faculty (non-OT)	×		Second Year			닖
	Fieldwork Educators	×		First Year	(No. 6)		M
	Employers of Program Graduates Advisory Committee Members	쯽	10.	Others Others	(No)		
SE	CTION II, PART B: UNUSUAL CIRCUMS	TANCES					
_			5td #h l	- avaluation			
Des	cribe any unusual circumstances which m	ay have af	fected this	s evaluation.			
	No significant circumstances affected				327 22	100	
	Specific circumstances and their impa	ct on the a	accreditati	on process are de	scribed be	elow:	
				•			
				•			
				•			
				•			
				•			
_							
_		OMPLIAN		THE STANDARD			
_		OMPLIAN					
Ass			ICE WITH	THE STANDARD	os		
Ass	SECTION III: C	each liste	ICE WITH	THE STANDARD	os n "X".		ompliance
	SECTION III: C	each liste	ICE WITH	THE STANDARD	os n "X".		ompliance 2

Sponsoring institution is accredited by a recognized accreditation agency. A.1.1 \times A.1.2 Sponsoring institution is legally authorized and has degree granting authority or program is offered within the military services. Fieldwork responsibilities are clearly documented in memo of understanding. Ø A.1.3 Both parties review each memo of understanding at least every 5 years. \times A.1.4 A.1.5 Program is located in a community, technical, junior or senior college, university, medical school, vocational school/institution, or military service. Sponsoring institution assumes responsibility for both academic and clinical A.1.6 components.

Provide Standard number and rationale for each item rated as "Noncompliance". (See page 16 for the areas of noncompliance relating to each item.):

	RATING SCALES: 1 = Compliance	2 = Noncom	pliance
STANE	DARD A.2: ACADEMIC RESOURCES	1	2
A.2.1	Program director (PD) is assigned to the OTA program on a full-time basis.	\boxtimes	
A.2.2	PD is an initially certified OT with appropriate qualifications.	\boxtimes	
A.2.3	PD has comparable academic qualifications and relevant higher education experience	. 🛛	
A.2.4	PD has understanding of and experience with OTAs, including clinical supervision.	\boxtimes	
A.2.5	PD is responsible for management and administration of program.	\boxtimes	
A.2.6	Program has at least one additional FTE faculty member.		
A.2.7	PD and faculty qualifications and background are appropriate.	\boxtimes	
A.2.8	OTA faculty are responsible for development, implementation, and evaluation of FW. A FW coordinator is identified.		
A.2.9	OTA faculty are initially certified with documented expertise in their area of teaching responsibility.	\boxtimes	
A.2.10	OTA faculty are sufficient in number and possess necessary expertise.	\boxtimes	
A.2.11	Faculty responsibilities are consistent with the mission of the institution.	\boxtimes	
A.2.12	Each full-time faculty member has a written professional growth and development plan	⊠	
A.2.13	Program has a strategic plan congruent with the mission and the curriculum design.	\boxtimes	
A.2.14	The faculty/student ratio permits achievement of program objectives.	\boxtimes	
A.2.15	Clerical and support staff meets programmatic and administrative requirements.	\boxtimes	

STAND	ARD A.2: ACADEMIC RESOURCES (continued)	1	1	2
A.2.16	A sufficient budget of regular institutional funds is allocated to the program.	Ε	3	
A.2.17	Classrooms and labs are provided consistent with program objectives.	D	3	
A.2.18	Laboratory space is assigned to the OTA program on a priority basis.	D	₫	
A.2.19	Space is provided to store and secure equipment and supplies.	◙	₫	
A.2.20	PD and faculty office space is provided consistent with institutional practice.	Ø	3	
A.2.21	Space is provided for private advising of students.	×	3	
A.2.22	Appropriate and sufficient equipment and supplies are provided.	×	3	
A.2.23	Students are given access to current evaluative and treatment technologies.	⋉	3	
A.2.24	Students have ready access to a supply of current books, journals, etc.		3	
A.2.25	Instructional aids and technology are available in sufficient quantity and quality.	\boxtimes	1	
Provide each iter	rationale for each item rated as "Noncompliance". (See page 16 for the areas of noncom.):	compliance r	elat	ing to

STANI	DARD A.3: STUDENTS	1	2
A.3.1	Admission of students is made in accordance with clearly published criteria.	\boxtimes	
A.3.2	Policies pertaining to standards for admission, etc., are readily accessible.	\boxtimes	
A.3.3	Criteria for successful completion are given in advance to each student.	\boxtimes	
A.3.4	Evaluation content and methods are consistent with the objectives and competencies.	\boxtimes	
A.3.5	Evaluation of students is employed on a regular basis.	\boxtimes	
A.3.6	Students are informed of and have access to health services provided to others.	\boxtimes	
A.3.7	OTA faculty are responsible for advising re: OTA coursework and FW education.	\boxtimes	
A.3.8	A mechanism is in place to ensure collaboration during FW experiences.	\boxtimes	
A.3.9	OTA faculty have access to referral resources and make them available to students.	\boxtimes	
Provide each ite	rationale for each Item rated as "Noncompliance". (See page 16 for the areas of noncomplia m.):	nce rela	ating to

STAND	OARD A.4: OPERATIONAL POLICIES	1	2
A.4.1	All program publications and advertising accurately reflect the program offered.	\boxtimes	
A.4.2	Accreditation status and ACOTE's name, address, and telephone number are published.	\boxtimes	
A.4.3	Faculty/student recruitment/employment/admission procedures are nondiscriminatory.	\boxtimes	
A.4.4	Graduation requirements, tuition, fees are accurately stated, published, and made known.	\boxtimes	
A.4.5	Student and faculty grievance policy/procedure is defined and published.	\boxtimes	
A.4.6	Withdrawal/refund policies/procedures are published and made known.	\boxtimes	
A.4.7	Probation, suspension, dismissal policies/procedures are published and made known.	\boxtimes	
A.4.8	Human research protocol and safety policies/procedures are published and made known.	\boxtimes	
A.4.9	Program admitting on basis of ability to benefit publicizes assessment measures.	\boxtimes	
A.4.10	Progression, graduation, credentialing requirements are published and made known.	\boxtimes	
A.4.11	Policy for timely completion of graduation and fieldwork requirements is published.	\boxtimes	
A.4.12	Records are maintained and kept in a secure setting.	\boxtimes	
Provide each ite	rationale for each item rated as "Noncompliance". (See page 16 for the areas of noncomplian m.):	ce rela	ating to

RATING SCALES: 1 = Compliance 2 = Noncompliance STANDARD A.5: CURRICULUM FRAMEWORK 2 A.5.1 OTA program mission is consistent with sponsoring Institution. \boxtimes П A.5.2 OTA program philosophy reflects profession's philosophy and includes beliefs about learning. × A.5.3 Curriculum design: Reflects the program's and institution's mission and philosophy. Provides the basis for program planning, implementation, and evaluation. Identifies educational goals and selection of content, scope and sequencing. A.5.4 Didactic instruction and supervised practice follow a plan documenting appropriate learning experiences. Ø A.5.5 Instruction follows a plan that documents clearly written course syllabi. X A.5.6 Instruction follows a plan that documents evaluation of students on a regular basis. \boxtimes STANDARD A.6: PROGRAM EVALUATION A.6.0 Ongoing Program Review: Program has continuing system for reviewing program effectiveness. Timely reports are prepared to aid in assessing program qualities and needs. A.6.1 Program secures and analyzes sufficient qualitative and quantitative information. This information includes (as a minimum): Faculty effectiveness in their assigned teaching responsibilities. Students' progression through the program. Graduates' performance on the National Board for Certification in Occupational Therapy (NBCOT) exam. Graduate job placement and performance based on employer satisfaction. *(Developing programs with no graduates must document a full plan for program evaluation including an assessment of outcomes.) A.6.2 Results of ongoing evaluation are appropriately reflected. 网

Provide rationale for each item rated as "Noncompliance". (See page 16 for the areas of noncompliance relating to

each item.):

STANE	DARD B.1: FOUNDATIONAL CONTENT REQUIREMENTS	1	2
B.1.1	Oral and written communication skills.	\boxtimes	
B.1.2	Logical thinking, critical analysis, problem solving, and creativity.	\boxtimes	
B.1.3	Competence in basic computer use.	\boxtimes	
B.1.4	Structure and function of the human body including biological and physical sciences.	\boxtimes	
B.1.5	Human development throughout the life span.	\boxtimes	
B.1.6	Concepts of human behavior including behavioral and social sciences.	\boxtimes	
B.1.7	Role of sociocultural, socioeconomic, diversity factors, and lifestyle choices.	\boxtimes	
B.1.8	Influence of social conditions and ethical context.	\boxtimes	
Provide each ite	rationale for each item rated as "Noncompliance". (See page 16 for the areas of noncompliance):	liance rela	ating to

STANE	DARD B.2: BASIC TENETS OF OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY	1	2
B.2.1	Importance of history and the philosophical base of OT.	\boxtimes	
B.2.2	Differentiation among occupation, activity, and purposeful activity.	\boxtimes	
B.2.3	Meaning and dynamics of occupation and purposeful activity.	\boxtimes	
B.2.4	Articulation of the unique nature and value of occupation.	\boxtimes	
B.2.5	Importance of the balance of performance areas to achievement of health and wellness.	\boxtimes	
B.2.6	Role of occupation in the promotion of health and prevention of disease.	\boxtimes	
B.2.7	Effects of health, disability, disease processes, and traumatic injury.	\boxtimes	
B.2.8	Analysis of tasks relative to performance areas, components, and contexts.	\boxtimes	
B.2.9	Appreciation for the individual's perception of quality of life, well being, and occupation.	\boxtimes	
B.2.10	Need for and use of compensatory strategies.	\boxtimes	
B.2.11	Theories, models of practice, and frames of reference in OT.	\boxtimes	
STAND	ARD B.3: SCREENING AND EVALUATION		
B.3.1	Gathering and sharing of data for the purpose of screening and evaluation.	\boxtimes	
B.3.2	Administration of selected assessments and use occupation for assessment.	\boxtimes	
B.3.3	Ability to use safety precautions with clients during screening and evaluation.	\boxtimes	
B.3.4	Documentation of OT services in screening and evaluation.	\boxtimes	
Provide	rationale for each item rated as "Noncompliance". (See page 16 for the areas of noncomplian	nce rela	ting to
each iter			- TOTAL

STANL	ARD B.4: INTERVENTION AND IMPLEMENTATION	1	2	
B.4.1	Selection, adaptation, and sequencing of relevant occupations and purposeful activities.	\boxtimes		
B.4.2	Use of individual and group interaction and therapeutic use of self.	\boxtimes		
B.4.3	Adaptation of the environment, tools, materials, and occupations.	\boxtimes		
B.4.4	Use of appropriate home and community programming.	\boxtimes		
B.4.5	Ability to educate and train client/family/significant others to facilitate skills.	\boxtimes		
B.4.6	Ability to interact through written, oral, and nonverbal communication.	\boxtimes		
B.4.7	Use of therapeutic adaptation with occupations pertinent to the needs of the client.	\boxtimes		
B.4.8	Ability to use the teaching-learning process with client/family/significant others.	\boxtimes		
B.4.9	Ability to use safety precautions with the client during therapeutic intervention.	\boxtimes		
B.4.10	Modification of intervention approaches to reflect changing client needs.	\boxtimes		
B.4.11	Ability to refer to specialists for consultation and intervention.	\boxtimes		
B.4.12	Monitoring and reassessment of the effect of OT intervention.	\boxtimes		
B.4.13	Facilitation of discharge planning.	\boxtimes		
B.4.14	Recommendation of the need for termination of occupational therapy services.	\boxtimes		
	Documentation of OT services for the intervention plan.	\boxtimes		

STANE	OARD B.5: CONTEXT OF SERVICE DELIVERY	1	2	
B.5.1	Models of health care, education, community, and social systems as related to OT.	\boxtimes		
B.5.2	Role and responsibility of the practitioner to address and effect changes.	\boxtimes		
STAND	ARD B.6: ASSIST IN MANAGEMENT OF OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY SERVICES			
B.6.1	Variety of systems and service models and effect on service provision.	\boxtimes		
B.6.2	Implications and effects of federal and state regulatory and legislative bodies.	\boxtimes		
B.6.3	Applicable national and state requirements for credentialing.	\boxtimes		
B.6.4	Various reimbursement mechanisms that affect OT practice.	\boxtimes		
B.6.5	Advocacy for the profession and due process and appeals system.	\boxtimes		
B.6.6	Principles of time management.	\boxtimes		
B.6.7	Maintenance and organization of treatment areas, equipment, and supply inventory.	\boxtimes		
B.6.8	Maintenance of records as required.	\boxtimes		
B.6.9	Program evaluation using predetermined criteria.	\boxtimes		
B.6.10	Ongoing professional responsibility for providing fieldwork education.	\boxtimes		

STAND	ARD B.7: USE OF PROFESSIONAL LITERATURE	1	2	
B.7.1	Importance of professional literature for practice and continued development of OT.	\boxtimes		
B.7.2	Use of professional literature to make informed practice decisions in cooperation with OT.	\boxtimes		
B.7.3	When and how to find and use informational resources.	\boxtimes		
STAND	ARD B.8: PROFESSIONAL ETHICS, VALUES, AND RESPONSIBILITIES			
B.8.1	AOTA Code of Ethics, Core Values and Attitudes of OT, and Standards of Practice.	\boxtimes		
B.8.2	Functions and influence of national, state, and local OT associations.	\boxtimes		
B.8.3	Promotion of occupational therapy by educating others.	\boxtimes		
B.8.4	Personal responsibility for planning ongoing professional development.	\boxtimes		
B.8.5	Professional responsibilities related to liability concerns.	\boxtimes		
B.8.6	Personal and professional abilities and competencies.	\boxtimes		
B.8.7	Varied roles of the OTA as practitioner and educator.	\boxtimes		
B.8.8	Importance of professional relationships between the OT and OTA.	\boxtimes		
B.8.9	Professional responsibilities when service provision is on a contractual basis.	\boxtimes		
B.8.10	Approaches to use in resolving personal and organizational ethical conflicts.	\boxtimes		
B.8.11	Variety of informal and formal ethical dispute resolution systems.	\boxtimes		
B.8.12	Assisting the consumer in gaining access to occupational therapy services.	\boxtimes		
B.8.13	Advocacy for the benefit of the consumer and the profession.	\boxtimes		
Provide each iter	rationale for each item rated as "Noncompliance". (See page 16 for the areas of noncomplian m.):	ice rela	ting to	1000

B.9.1 B.9.2 B.9.3	Plan is documented to assure collaboration between academic and FW representatives. Ratio of fieldwork educators to students enables proper supervision.	×	
20400300	Ratio of fieldwork educators to students enables proper supervision.	\boxtimes	
B.9.3	accesses to the contract of th		
	Fieldwork agreements are sufficient in scope and number.	\boxtimes	
B.9.4	Fieldwork is conducted in appropriate settings.	\boxtimes	
B.9.5	All aspects of the FW program are consistent with the curriculum design.	\boxtimes	
B.9.6	Program ensures that Level I FW is not substituted for any part of Level II FW.	\boxtimes	
B.9.7	Program documents all Level I FW experiences provided to students.	\boxtimes	
B.9.8	Program documents mechanisms for formal evaluation of Level I FW performance.	\boxtimes	
B.9.9	Program recognizes variety of FW sites; minimum of 1 setting, maximum of 3 settings.	\boxtimes	
B.9.10	Minimum of equivalent of 16 weeks of full-time Level II FW is required.	\boxtimes	
B.9.11	Program ensures that the Level II FW student is supervised by qualified OT practitioner.	\boxtimes	
	Program ensures that supervision provides protection of consumers and opportunities for appropriate role modeling of OT practice.	\boxtimes	
B.9.13	Program documents an adequate plan for supervision where no OT practitioner is on site.	\boxtimes	

SECTION IV: SUMMARY

SECTION IV, PART A: MAJOR STRENGTHS OF THE PROGRAM

- The administrators are knowledgeable advocates for the occupational therapy assistant program and are commended for their unwavering support. They recognize the valuable contributions that the program makes to the college and its mission, the students, the community, and the profession.
- The program director is highly praised for her expertise, vision, and support in mentoring both faculty and students. She has guided the program through positive curricular changes while facilitating the faculty's professional development.
- 3. The occupational therapy assistant faculty are recognized for their commitment to the mission of the college, the program, and the core philosophy of occupational therapy. Their consistent application of the curriculum design and program philosophy in course development and related competency-based learning experiences is evident. They are dedicated and highly responsive to students.
- The institutional faculty are generous with their expertise and their willingness to accommodate and adapt their
 efforts to meet the needs of the occupational therapy assistant students.
- 5. The fieldwork educators are recognized for their exceptional dedication to the professional growth of the students and their contributions to the occupational therapy assistant program. The relationship between the clinical community and the occupational therapy assistant program provides the student with an excellent model for professional collaboration and interaction.
- The students are acknowledged for their professionalism and active participation in the learning process.
- The graduates of the program display enthusiasm for their profession and the education they received. This is evident in their roles as fieldwork educators and advisory council members.
- 8. Of notable worth is the program's well-defined student competencies and learning modules for each course and semester. These give both faculty and students clear guidance as to professional skills and behaviors that are taught as well as where and how those competencies will be evaluated. This change has had the initial outcome of improvement in National Board for Certification in Occupational Therapy (NBCOT) passing scores for first-time takers from 50% in 2004-2005 to 81% in 2005-2006.

SECTION IV, PART B: SUGGESTIONS TO ENHANCE THE PROGRAM

Suggestions:

Suggestions are items related to broadening or enrichment of programs. They are listed in order of the Standards and may be accompanied by an explanation. (Reference to the number of the related Standard appears after each Suggestion.) No response is required.

- The program recommends students complete their anatomy and physiology coursework prior to being admitted
 to the program. It is suggested that the program advise students of the demands of the program and strongly
 encourage students to complete this coursework prior to admission to decrease their workload and increase the
 likelihood of their success. Additionally, it is suggested that the faculty explore placement of this course as a
 prerequisite. [Standard A.3.1]
- The curriculum design reflects the mission and philosophy of both the program and institution and provides the
 basis for program planning, implementation, and evaluation. It describes the selection of the content, scope,
 and sequencing of coursework. It is suggested that the faculty determine ways to more clearly articulate the
 developmental approach in the written information materials and graphic representation of the curriculum
 design. [Standard A.5.3]
- It is suggested that the faculty examine the placement of the Introduction of Psychology course and determine if the current placement of this course best fits the developmental design of the curriculum. [Standard A.5.3]

SECTION IV, PART C: NONCOMPLIANCE WITH THE STANDARDS

Areas of Noncompliance:

Cited areas are based on noncompliance with the referenced Standard(s) and are listed in order of the Standards. Reference to the number of the related Standard appears after each area. A response is required.

SECTION V: ACCREDITATION ACTION

Occupational Therapy Education (ACOTE®) has reviewed the Report of oted that the status of the occupational therapy assistant program offered on
associate degree level

at	Nashville State Technical Community College, Nashville, Tennessee
DB .	ACCREDITATION
)B	ACCREDITATION
f areas of noncomp copies) to ACOTE of	pliance are cited in this report, the program must submit a Plan of Correction (three on or before:
	11 100 10 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1
The on-site evaluate	ors were _Jamie Marie Geraci, M.S., OTR/L (Team Chairperson) and
seth Ann Kneisley F	fatkevich, MOT, OTR/L
	081.
	Enzer_
	ACOTE Review Coordinator Eunice Zee-Chen, M.S., OTR/L, FAOTA
	ACOTE Review Coordinator Eunice Zee-Chen, M.S., OTR/L, FAOTA
April 24, 200 (date)	Eunice Zee-Chen, M.S., OTR/L, FAOTA

<u>NOTE</u>: Any institution or program that elects to make a public disclosure of the results of an ACOTE accreditation visit must accurately disclose:

- Statements from this <u>final</u> report, the Report of the Accreditation Council for Occupational Therapy Education - <u>not</u> the Evaluators' Report of On-Site Evaluation.
- Complete information regarding ACOTE's findings (i.e., strengths, suggestions, areas of noncompliance, and ACOTE's final accreditation action). Strengths cited in the report may not be published without also publishing any cited suggestions or areas of noncompliance.

APPENDIX

Included below is information which clarifies or amplifies the report, including the names, credentials, titles, and if appropriate, facility/institution of representatives with whom the evaluators met. For clarity and consistency, the following headings are recommended as appropriate to the program: Administration; Occupational Therapy Assistant Faculty; Institutional Faculty; Fieldwork Educators; Employers of Program Graduates; Advisory Board Members; Recent Graduates; Students (Fieldwork, Second Year, First Year; Others); Others (internal and external). Note that individuals serving dual roles (i.e., fieldwork educators/employers) may be designated with an asterisk.

Administration

Ellen Weed, Ph.D., Vice President Academic Affairs Pam Munz, Ph.D., Dean, Social and Life Sciences

Occupational Therapy Assistant Faculty

Donna Whitehouse, MHA, OTR/L, Program Director Rebecca Breedlove, OTD, OTR/L Cindy Hayden, M.Ed., OTR/L Holly Lemay-Cranor, OTR/L

Institutional Faculty

Valerie Belew, M.A., Interim Dean, English, Humanities and Arts Laura Connor, Instructor, Division of Math and Science Tammy Ruff, M.Ed., Associate Professor, Division of Social and Life Sciences Don Turner, Instructor, Division of English, Humanities and Arts

Fieldwork Educators/Employers of Program Graduates/Advisory Committee Members

Rebecca Breedlove, OTD^{-2,3}
Mary Herrold, COTA/L^{-1,2,3}
Melanie Hirt, COTA/L^{-1,3}
Margaret Holt, COTA/L^{-1,3}
Amy Myers, COTA/L, ^{-1,2,3}
Susan Pech, COTA/L, ^{-1,2,3}
Camille Turner, OTR/L^{1,3}

*=program graduate

1=fieldwork educator

2=employer

3=advisory council member

ROSE/RAC: OTA - Page 18

Recent Graduate

Amanda Newbern, COTA/L

Students

Second Year/Fieldwork II Students
Jennifer Frazier
Susan Hatcher
Jean Ann Patterson
Donisha Webb

First Year Students

Krista Bunch Kelly Espinoza Rita Hayden Tanya Houston Robin McCormack Jennifer Nelson